

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,284	09/08/2003	Haruo Kawasaki	Q77157	8673	
23373 75	590 03/27/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BROWN, VERNAL U		
SUITE 800	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2612		

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/656,284	KAWASAKI, HARUO	KAWASAKI, HARUO		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Vernal U. Brown	2635			

	Vernal U. Brown	2635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f). It is on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 (tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or ampinying	110 133003 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		i be entered and an e	xpianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	a condition for allower	noo hoodusaa
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	, , , , , ,	$\wedge \wedge$	ce because:
13.			MMERMAN EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicant's argument that the transponder as disclosed by the reference of Xydis does not disclose storing information of a terminal, the reference of Xydis teaches the transponder stores identification codes of persons authorized to access the terminal (col. 2 lines 55-65). The authorized codes are considered information of the terminal because these codes are also stored at the terminal and is compared with the stored ccode for authorizing access to the terminal (col. 3 lines 35-45).